arXiv:1511.01553v1 [math.AG] 4 Nov 2015

A CHARACTERIZATION OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL RATIONAL SINGULARITIES VIA CORE OF IDEALS

TOMOHIRO OKUMA, KEI-ICHI WATANABE, AND KEN-ICHI YOSHIDA

Abstract. The notion of p_g-ideals for normal surface singularities has been proved to be very useful. On the other hand, the core of ideals has been proved to be very important concept and also very mysterious one. However the computation of the core of an ideal seems to be given only for very special cases. In this paper, we will give an explicit description of the core of p_g-ideals of normal surface singularities. As a consequence, we give a characterization of rational singularities using the inclusion of the core of integrally closed ideals.

1. Introduction

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be an excellent two-dimensional normal local domain containing an algebraically closed field. When $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a rational singularity, Lipman [10] proved that any integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I is stable, namely, $I^2 = QI$ for some (every) minimal reduction Q, and that if I and I' are integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals, then the product II' is also integrally closed. (Later, Cutkosky [3] showed that this property characterizes the rational singularities for two-dimensional normal local rings.) These facts play very important role to study ideal theory on a two-dimensional rational singularity.

In [11], the authors introduced the notion of p_g-ideals for two-dimensional normal local domain containing an algebraically closed field and proved that the p_g-ideals inherit nice properties of integrally closed ideals of rational singularities (in a rational singularity, every integrally closed ideal is a p_g-ideal by our definition). Namely, any p_g-ideal I is stable and if I and I' are p_g-ideals, then II' is integrally closed and also a p_g-ideal.

Let $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be a resolution of singularity. Then $p_g(A) := \ell_A(H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ is independent of the choice of a resolution and an important invariant of A (here we denote by $\ell_A(M)$ the length of an A module M). The invariant $p_g(A)$ is called the *geometric genus* of A. A rational singularity is characterized by $p_g(A) = 0$. Let $I \subset A$ be an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Then there exists a resolution of singularities $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ and an anti-nef cycle Z on X so that $I\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ and $I = I_Z := H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$. In general, we can show that $\ell_A(H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))) \leq$

Date: November 6, 2015.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14B05; Secondary 13B22, 14J17, 13A15, 13H15.

Key words and phrases. core of ideals, good ideal, p_g-cycle, p_g-ideal, surface singularity, rational singularity.

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 26400064, 26400053, 25400050.

$p_g(A)$ for any cycle Z such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed components. If equality holds, then Z is called a *p_g-cycle* and $I = I_Z$ is called a *p_g-ideal*.

The *core* of an ideal I is the intersection of all reductions of I. The notion of core of ideals was introduced by Rees and Sally [14], and many properties of the core have been shown by Corso–Polini–Ulrich [1], [2], Huneke–Swanson [6], Huneke–Trung [7], Hyry–Smith [8], Polini–Ulrich [13]. The core of ideals is related to coefficient, adjoint and multiplier ideals. However, it seems to the authors that the computation of the core is given only for very special cases.

In this paper, we will show that if $I = I_Z$ is a p_g-ideal and Q is a minimal reduction of I, there exists a cycle $Y \geq 0$ on X such that $Q : I = I_{Z-Y}$ and $\operatorname{core}(I) = I_{2Z-Y}$. In particular, this implies that if A is rational, X_0 is the minimal resolution, and $K = K_{X/X_0}$ (the relative canonical divisor), then $\operatorname{core}(I) = I_{2Z-K}$ (see Theorem 4.6 for general case). Moreover we obtain that if I and I' are p_g-ideals and $I' \subset I$, then $\operatorname{core}(I') \subset \operatorname{core}(I)$ (see Theorem 4.9). If A is not a rational singularity, then there exist a p_g-ideal I' and an integrally closed non-p_g-ideal I such that $\operatorname{core}(I') \not\subset \operatorname{core}(I)$ (see Proposition 3.3). Therefore, we obtain a characterization of rational singularities in terms of core of ideals, which is our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (See Theorem 3.2)**.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *For any integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals $I' \subset I$, we have* $\operatorname{core}(I') \subset \operatorname{core}(I)$.
(2) *A is a rational singularity.*

An $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I is said to be *good* if $I^2 = QI$ and $I = Q\colon I$ for some minimal reduction Q of I (see [5], [11]). As an application of the theorem, we show the following existence theorem for good ideals, which generalizes [11, Theorem 4.1] for non-Gorenstein local rings.

Theorem 1.2 (See Theorem 4.6)**.** *Any two-dimensional excellent normal local domain A over an algebraically closed field admits a good p_g-ideal.*

Let us explain the organization of this paper.

In Section 2, we recall the definition and several basic properties of p_g-ideals. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 using the property that taking the core preserves the inclusion of p_g-ideals (Theorem 4.9), and give an example of $\operatorname{core}(I') \not\subset \operatorname{core}(I)$.

In Section 4, we show that $Q\colon I$ and $\operatorname{core}(I)$ are also a p_g-ideal for a p_g-ideal I and its minimal reduction Q (Theorem 4.4), and give an algorithm for obtaining these ideals. We also prove some characterization of good ideals and the existence of good ideals in A. In Section 5, we give a maximal p_g-ideal contained in a given integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal.

2. Preliminary on p_g-ideals

Throughout this paper, let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be an excellent two-dimensional normal local domain containing an algebraically closed field and $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ a resolution of singularities with exceptional divisor $E := f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ unless otherwise specified. Let $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ be the decomposition into irreducible components of E. A $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination $Z = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i E_i$ is called a *cycle*. We say a cycle $Z = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i E_i$ is *effective* if $n_i \geq 0$ for every i. We denote $Z \geq Z'$ if $Z - Z'$ is effective.

First, we recall the definition of p_g-ideals. If I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary integrally closed ideal of A, there exists a resolution X of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ and an effective cycle Z on X such that $I\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$. In this case, we denote the ideal I by I_Z,[1] and we say that I is *represented on* X by Z. Note that $I_Z = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$. Furthermore if $ZC < 0$ for every (-1)-curve C on X, then we say that I is *minimally* represented. We obtain a unique minimal representation of I by contracting (-1)-curves C with $ZC = 0$ successively (cf. [11, §3]).

We say that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ *has no fixed component* if $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) \neq H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z-E_i))$ for every $E_i \subset E$, i.e., the base locus of the linear system $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ does not contain any component of E. It is clear that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed component when I is represented by Z.

We denote by $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ the length $\ell_A(H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)))$. We put $p_g(A) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and call it the *geometric genus* of A.

The number $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ is independent of the choice of representations of $I = I_Z$ (cf. [11]) and an important invariant of the ideal for our theory. We have the following result for this invariant.

Proposition 2.1 ([11, 2.5, 3.1])**.** *Let Z' and Z be cycles on X and assume that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed components. Then we have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z'-Z)) \leq h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z'))$. In particular, we have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) \leq p_g(A)$; if the equality holds, then $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated.*

Definition 2.2. (1) Let $I = I_Z = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ be an integrally closed ideal represented by Z on X. We call I a *p_g-ideal* if $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = p_g(A)$.

(2) A cycle $Z > 0$ is called a *p_g-cycle* if $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = p_g(A)$.

Remark 2.3. If $p_g(A) = 0$, then A is called a *rational* singularity. On a rational singularity, every integrally closed ideal is a p_g-ideal by definition and conversely, this property characterizes a rational singularity because we always have integrally closed ideal $I = I_Z$ such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = 0$.

In [11], we have seen many good properties of p_g-ideals. We will review some of these properties.

Let Z, Z' be nonzero effective cycles on the resolution $X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')$ are generated. Take general elements $a \in I_Z$, $b \in I_{Z'}$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(Z, Z') &:= \ell_A(I_{Z+Z'}/aI_{Z'} + bI_Z) \\ &= p_g(A) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z-Z')). \end{aligned}$$

Then $0 \leq \varepsilon(Z, Z') \leq p_g(A)$; see [11, 2.6].

The following proposition gives an important property of p_g-ideals.

Proposition 2.4 (see [11, 3.5, 3.6])**.** *Let Z, Z' be the cycles as above.*

(1) *If Z is a p_g-cycle, then $\varepsilon(Z, Z') = 0$ for any Z', and*

$$I_{Z+Z'} = aI_{Z'} + bI_Z$$

[1] When we write I_Z, we assume that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated by global sections.

for general elements $a \in I_Z$ and $b \in I_{Z'}$. In particular, the product $I_Z I_{Z'}$ is integrally closed and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z-Z')) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z'))$.

(2) *Z and Z' are p_g-cycles if and only if so is $Z+Z'$.*

Proof. The "if part" of (2) follows from Proposition 2.1. The other claims follow from [11, 3.5]. □

Corollary 2.5 (see [11, 3.6])**.** *Let I and I' be any integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals.*

(1) *I and I' are p_g-ideals if and only if so is II'.*

(2) *Assume that I is a p_g-ideal. Then I^n is a p_g-ideal for every $n > 0$. If Q is a minimal reduction of I, then $I^2 = QI$ and $I \subset Q : I$.*

Assume that $p_g(A) > 0$. Then we give a characterization of p_g-ideals by cohomological cycle. Let K_X denote the canonical divisor on X. Let Z_{K_X} denote the canonical cycle, i.e., the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor supported in E such that $K_X + Z_{K_X} \equiv 0$. By [15, §4.8], there exists the smallest cycle $C_X > 0$ on X such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_X}) = p_g(A)$, and $C_X = Z_{K_X}$ if A is Gorenstein and the resolution $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is minimal. The cycle C_X is called the *cohomological cycle* on X.

Proposition 2.6. *Assume that $p_g(A) > 0$ and let $C \geq 0$ be the minimal cycle such that $H^0(X \setminus E, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + C))$. Then C is the cohomological cycle. Therefore If $g\colon X' \to X$ is the blowing-up at a point in $\operatorname{Supp} C_X$ and E_0 the exceptional set for g, then $C_{X'} = g^*C_X - E_0$. For any cycle $D > 0$ without common components with C_X, we have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_D) = 0$.*

Proof. By the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem[2] and the duality theorem, we have

$$\ell_A(H^0(X \setminus E, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))/H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))) = \ell_A(H^1_E(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X))) = p_g(A),$$
$$\ell_A(H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + C))/H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(K_X + C))) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C).$$

Thus $h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = p_g(A)$.[3] Let $C' > 0$ be a cycle such that $C' < C$. By the assumption, we have

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C'}) = \ell_A(H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + C'))/H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))) < \ell_A(H^1_E(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X))) = p_g(A).$$

Let $D > 0$ be a cycle without common components with C. As in the proof of [15, §4.8] (putting $A = 0$), we obtain the surjection $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{C+D}) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{O}_D)$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C+D}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = p_g(A)$, it follows that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_D) = 0$. □

We have the following characterization of p_g-ideals in terms of cohomological cycle.

Proposition 2.7 ([11, 3.10])**.** *Assume that $p_g(A) > 0$. Let $Z > 0$ be a cycle such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed component. Then Z is a p_g-cycle if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{C_X}(-Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_X}$.*

Now we will define the core of an ideal I of A. Recall that an ideal $J \subset I$ is called a *reduction* of I if I is integral over J or, equivalently, $I^{r+1} = I^r J$ for some r. An ideal $Q \subset I$ is called a *minimal reduction* of I if Q is minimal among the reductions of I. In our case, minimal reductions of an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal is a parameter ideal.

[2]Note that the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem holds in any characteristic in dimension 2 ([4]).

[3]This result was already obtained by Tomari [16]

Definition 2.8. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of A.

(1) The *core* of I is defined by

$$\operatorname{core}(I) = \bigcap_{Q \text{ is a reduction of } I} Q.$$

(2) I is said to be *stable* if $I^2 = QI$ for any minimal reduction Q of I. By this definition, if I is stable, then $I \subset Q : I$ and $I^2 \subset \operatorname{core}(I)$.
(3) I is said to be *good* if I is stable and $Q : I = I$ for any minimal reduction Q of I ([5]). If I is stable, then $I^2 = \operatorname{core}(I)$ if and only if I is a good ideal (cf. Lemma 4.1).

Remark 2.9 ([5]). If A is Gorenstein, then I is good if and only if I is stable and $e(I) = 2\ell_A(A/I)$, where $e(I)$ denotes the multiplicity of I (if $I = I_Z$, then $e(I_Z) = -Z^2$). We have shown the existence of good p_g-ideals when A is Gorenstein in [11].

We also have a characterization of p_g-ideal in terms of the Rees algebras.

Proposition 2.10 ([12])**.** *Let I be an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *I is a p_g-ideal.*
(2) *The Rees algebra $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^n t^n \subset A[t]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay normal domain.*
(3) *$I^2 = QI$ and $\overline{I^n} = I^n$ is for every $n > 0$, where $\overline{J}$ denotes the integral closure of an ideal J.*

In Section 4, we will give the description of $\operatorname{core}(I)$ for a p_g-ideal I.

3. A question on core of integrally closed ideals

The core of an ideal I is the intersection of all the reductions of I, or, equivalent to say, the intersection of all the minimal reductions of I. We are interested whether taking the core preserves the inclusion of ideals. But it is obvious that if ideals $I' \subset I$ have the same integral closure, then $\operatorname{core}(I') \supset \operatorname{core}(I)$, since a reduction of I' is also a reduction of I. So, we ask the following question.

Question 3.1. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a normal two-dimensional local domain and let $I' \subset I$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary integrally closed ideals. Then is it always true that $\operatorname{core}(I') \subset \operatorname{core}(I)$?

Question 3.1 was asked in [6] and then in [8, Question 5.5.2]. When A is 2-dimensional Gorenstein rational singularity this question was answered affirmatively (see [8, 5.5.1]). On the other hand, Kyungyong Lee [9] gave a counterexample to this question in a regular local ring of dimension 4.

The answer to this question and the main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a normal two-dimensional local ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *For any integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals $I' \subset I$, we have $\operatorname{core}(I') \subset \operatorname{core}(I)$.*
(2) *A is a rational singularity.*

Proof. If A is a rational singularity then every integrally closed ideal is a p_g-ideal. We will show in Theorem 4.9 that the answer to Question 3.1 is positive if both I and I' are p_g-ideals. Hence the implication (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1) is true.

The implication (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) follows from Proposition 3.3. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that $p_g(A) > 0$. Let $I = I_Z$ be an integrally closed ideal which is* not *a p_g-ideal such that $I^2 \neq QI$ for some minimal reduction Q of I and that I^2 is integrally closed. Then there exists a p_g-ideal $I' \subset I$ such that* $\mathrm{core}(I') \not\subset \mathrm{core}(I)$.

In particular, if $I = I_Z$ is an integrally closed ideal which is not *a p_g-ideal, then for some $n > 0$, there exists a p_g-ideal $I' \subset \overline{I^n}$ such that* $\mathrm{core}(I') \not\subset \mathrm{core}(\overline{I^n})$.

Proof. Suppose that a minimal reduction Q of I is generated by a system of parameters $a, b \in I$. Let us prove that $I^2 \not\subset Q$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 \to & & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \mathcal{O}_X(-2Z) & \to 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \to & \mathcal{O}_X(Z) & \longrightarrow & & \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & \mathcal{O}_X(-Z) & \to 0 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequences are exact, the vertical arrows are natural inclusions, and ϕ_i are given by $(x, y) \mapsto ax + by$. From this diagram, we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \to & QI & \longrightarrow & I^2 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow^{\psi} \\ 0 \to & Q & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Z)) \end{array}$$

If $I^2 \subset Q$, then the composite map $I^2 \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Z))$ is zero. However, since $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(Z)) = 0$ (see Lemma 4.2), ψ is injective and hence $\psi(I^2/QI) \neq 0$. Therefore we obtain that $I^2 \not\subset Q$.

Now, since $I^2 \not\subset Q$, for a general element f of I, $f^2 \notin Q$ and in particular, $f^2 \notin \mathrm{core}(I)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 5.1, there exists a p_g-ideal $I' \subset I$ such that $f \in I'$. Then $f^2 \in I'^2 \subset \mathrm{core}(I')$, since I' is stable. This shows that $\mathrm{core}(I') \not\subset \mathrm{core}(I)$.

For a given $I = I_Z$, which is not a p_g-ideal, choose n so that $\overline{I^{nk}} = \overline{I^n}^k$ for every positive integer k, and also that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-nZ))$ takes minimal value when we move $n > 0$. Such n exists since the normalized Rees algebra of I is Noetherian and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-nZ))$ is a decreasing function of n (cf. Proposition 2.1). Clearly $\overline{I^n} = I_{nZ}$ is not a p_g-ideal by Proposition 2.4. Let Q_n be a minimal reduction of $\overline{I^n}$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-nZ)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-2nZ))$, from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(-nZ)^{\oplus 2} \to \mathcal{O}_X(-2nZ) \to 0,$$

we obtain $\ell_A(\overline{I^n}^2/Q_n\overline{I^n}) = p_g(A) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-nZ)) > 0$. Therefore we can apply the first claim to the ideal $\overline{I^n}$. $\square$

Example 3.4. Let $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^4 + z^4)$, where k is an algebraically closed field.[4] Then $\mathrm{core}(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}^2$ since $\mathfrak{m}$ is a good ideal (cf. Lemma 4.1). Note that

[4]If $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$, then we change $x^2 + y^4 + z^4$ to $x^2 + g(y, z)$, where $g(y, z)$ is a form of degree 4 with no multiple roots.

$\mathrm{core}(\mathfrak{m})$ is *not* integrally closed since x is integral over $\mathfrak{m}^2$. It is easy to show that for every integrally closed ideal $I' \subset \mathfrak{m}$, $\mathrm{core}(I') \subset \mathrm{core}(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}^2$.

Let $f_0 : X_0 \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is the minimal resolution, then $f_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) = E_0$ is an elliptic curve $\{x^2 + y^4 + z^4 = 0\}$ in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(2,1,1)$. We have $p_g(A) = 1$, $\mathfrak{m} = I_{E_0}$, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-nE_0)) = 0$ for $n > 0$ by Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem. Now, let $I := I_{2E_0} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^2}$, which is generated by $\mathfrak{m}^2$ and x. Then I^n is integrally closed (cf. [15, 4.24]) and not a p_g-ideal for every $n > 0$. It is easy to see that $\ell_A(I^2/QI) = p_g(A) = 1$ for any minimal reduction Q of I.

Take a general element g of I and let $P_1, \dots, P_4 \in E_0$ be the intersection points of E_0 and $\operatorname{div}_X(g) - 2E_0$. Let $f_1 : X \to X_0$ be the blowing-up of these 4 points and let $E_i = f_1^{-1}(P_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$. We again denote by E_0 the strict transforms of E_0 on X. Then $Z = 2E_0 + 3\sum_{i=1}^4 E_i$ is a p_g-cycle on X and $K_X Z = 0$. By Riemann-Roch formula, we have $\ell_A(A/I_Z) = 6$ and $\ell_A(A/\overline{\mathfrak{m}^3}) = 7$. Thus $I_Z = (g) + \overline{\mathfrak{m}^3} \subset I$. Since $2\ell_A(A/I_Z) = -Z^2$, I_Z is also a good ideal (cf. Remark 2.9) and $\mathrm{core}(I_Z) = I_Z^2$. On the other hand, as we have seen in Proposition 3.3, $g^2 \notin \mathrm{core}(I)$. Thus we conclude that $\mathrm{core}(I_Z) \not\subset \mathrm{core}(I)$.

4. Computation of $\mathrm{core}(I)$ and $Q : I$ of a p_g-ideal I

Let $I = I_Z$ be an integrally closed ideal of A represented on some resolution X, and let Q be any minimal reduction of I. We begin by recalling a description of core when I is stable.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $I^2 = QI$. Then*

$$\mathrm{core}(I) = Q^2 : I = (Q : I)I = (Q : I)Q. \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, if $\mathrm{core}(I)$ is integrally closed, then so is $Q : I$. If I is a p_g-ideal, then $\mathrm{core}(I)$ is integrally closed.

Proof. The formula (4.1) is obtained in [11, 5.6]. Assume that $\mathrm{core}(I)$ is integrally closed and Q is generated by $a, b \in I$. Let x be integral over $Q : I$. Then ax is integral over $Q(Q : I)$. Since $(Q : I)Q = (Q : I)I = \mathrm{core}(I)$, we have $ax \in Q(Q : I)$. Thus $ax = au + bv$ for some $u, v \in Q : I$. Since $a(x - u) = bv$, we have that $x - u \in (b) \subset Q$, and thus $x \in Q : I$. Hence $Q : I$ is integrally closed.

If I is a p_g-ideal, then by Proposition 2.10, the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I)$ is normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Hence $\mathrm{core}(I)$ is integrally closed by [8, Proposition 5.5.3]. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $D > 0$ be a cycle on X. Then we have the following.*

1. $H^0(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = 0$.
2. $h^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = (-D^2 + K_X D)/2$.
3. $p_g(A) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$. *Therefore $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \geq p_g(A)$ and the equality holds if and only if $h^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = 0$.*
4. *If $h^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = 0$, then each connected component of the support of D contracts to a nonsingular point. More precisely, if $E_1 \leq D$ is a (-1)-curve, $g\colon X \to X'$ the contraction of E_1, and if $F := g_* D \neq 0$, then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_F(F)) = 0$ and $D = g^* F + nE_1$ with $n = 0$ or 1.*

Proof. Although the claim (1) is stated in [17], we will give a short proof for the convenience of the readers. By adjunction, $K_D = (K_X + D)|_D$ and by duality

on D, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_D(K_X))$. Since $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \to H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(K_X)))$ is surjective and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = 0$ by Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem, we have the desired result. The statement (2) follows from (1) and the Riemann-Roch formula: $h^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = -\chi(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = (-D^2 + K_X D)/2$.

The assertion (3) follows from (1) and the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to \mathcal{O}_D(D) \to 0.$$

(4) If D contains no (-1)-curve, then $K_X D \geq 0$, and thus $2h^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = -D^2 + K_X D \geq -D^2 > 0$. Assume that $2h^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = -D^2 + K_X D = 0$ and E_1 be a (-1)-curve in D. Let $g\colon X \to X'$ be the contraction of E_1 and $F = g_* D$. Then there exists an integer n such that $D = g^* F + nE_1$. we have

$$-D^2 + K_X D = -F^2 + K_{X'} F + n^2 - n.$$

Since $n^2 - n \geq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-F^2 + K_{X'} F \geq 0$, we obtain that $-F^2 + K_{X'} F = n^2 - n = 0$. Therefore we can inductively contract all components of D to nonsingular points. □

The following lemma is essential for our main theorem of this section.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $I = I_Z$ be a p_g-ideal represented on some resolution X, and Q a minimal reduction of I. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z + Y)$ is generated for some cycle $Y > 0$ on X. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) $I_Z I_{Z-Y} = Q I_{Z-Y}$.
(2) $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Y)) = p_g(A)$ *and* I_{Z-Y} *is a* p_g*-ideal.*

Note that the first condition implies $I_{Z-Y} \subset Q : I_Z$.

Proof. Assume $Q = (a, b)$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)^{\oplus 2} \to \mathcal{O}_X(-2Z) \to 0,$$

where the map $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)^{\oplus 2} \to \mathcal{O}_X(-2Z)$ is defined by $(x, y) \mapsto ax + by$. Tensoring $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)$ to this exact sequence, we obtain

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(Y) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z + Y)^{\oplus 2} \to \mathcal{O}_X(-2Z + Y) \to 0.$$

Note that $I_Z I_{Z-Y} = I_{2Z-Y}$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z + Y)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-2Z + Y))$ by Proposition 2.4. Now, taking the long exact sequence of this sequence, we see that $I_Z I_{Z-Y} = Q I_{Z-Y}$ if and only if $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Y)) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z + Y)^{\oplus 2})$ is injective, and this is also equivalent to that

$$2h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z + Y)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Y)) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-2Z + Y)).$$

Thus (1) is equivalent to that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z + Y)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Y))$. On the other hand, we have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z+Y)) \leq p_g(A) \leq h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Y))$ by Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 4.2. Therefore the condition (1) is equivalent to the equalities

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z + Y)) = p_g(A) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Y)),$$

namely, the condition (2). □

Now we can state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $I = I_Z$ be a p_g-ideal, where Z is a p_g-cycle on a resolution X of* Spec A. *Then* core(I) *and $Q : I$ are also represented on X. Furthermore, we can write $Q : I = I_{Z-Y}$ and* core$(I) = I_{2Z-Y}$, *where Y is the maximal positive cycle on X satisfying the following two conditions:*

(1) $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Y)) = p_g(A)$ *and*
(2) I_{Z-Y} *is a p_g-ideal.*

In particular, if I is a p_g-ideal, so are $Q : I$ and core(I).

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we know that $Q : I$ is integrally closed. Suppose that I and $Q : I$ are represented by cycles Z' and $Z' - Y$ on some resolution X', respectively, where Y is an effective cycle on X'. We may assume that $Z'C < 0$ or $(Z' - Y)C < 0$ for any (-1)-curve C on X'. If C is a (-1)-curve and $Z'C = 0$, then $YC > 0$. However this is impossible because Y blows down to a nonsingular point and $YC \leq 0$ if $C \leq Y$ by Lemma 4.2. Thus I is minimally represented on X'. Hence $Q : I$ is also represented on the resolution X. Thus we can write $Q : I = I_{Z-Y_0}$ for some cycle $Y_0 \geq 0$ on X. By (4.1) and Lemma 4.3, we see that $\mathrm{core}(I) = I_{2Z-Y_0}$ and that Y_0 satisfies our conditions (1) and (2). On the other hand, if a cycle $Y \geq 0$ also satisfies our conditions, then Lemma 4.3 implies that $I_{Z-Y} \subset Q : I = I_{Z-Y_0}$. Hence we must have $Y \leq Y_0$. □

Note that even if I is minimally represented on X, $Q : I$ is not necessarily minimally represented on X (cf. Theorem 4.6).

In the situation of Theorem 4.4, I is a good ideal if and only if $Y = 0$. Hence we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that I is a p_g-ideal. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *I is a good ideal.*
(2) $\mathrm{core}(I) = I^2$.
(3) $\mathrm{core}(I^2) = \mathrm{core}(I)^2$.
(4) $\mathrm{core}(II') = \mathrm{core}(I)\,\mathrm{core}(I')$ *for any p_g-ideal I'.*

Now, we give an algorithm to calculate $Q : I$ and a minimal good ideal containing I starting from a p_g-ideal $I = I_Z$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $I = I_Z$ be a p_g-ideal represented on X and let Q be a minimal reduction of I.*

(1) *Let $X = X_1 \to X_2 \to \cdots \to X_{n+1}$ be the sequence of contractions, where each $f_i : X_i \to X_{i+1}$ is a contraction of a (-1)-curve E_i on X_i, which does not intersect the cohomological cycle C_{X_i}. This process stops when every (-1)-curve on X_{n+1} intersects $C_{X_{n+1}}$. Let K be the relative canonical divisor $K_{X/X_{n+1}}$ of the composite $f : X \to X_{n+1}$.*

    Then $Q : I = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z + K))$. Note that $Z - K$ is not anti-nef if there is a (-1)-curve C on X with $ZC = 0$. Also, f_*Z is a p_g-ideal and I_{f_*Z} is the minimal good ideal containing I_Z. In particular, every normal two-dimensional excellent local ring has good ideals.
(2) *Let C be a (-1)-curve on X which does not intersect C_X such that $ZC = -m < 0$ and $g : X \to X'$ the contraction of C. Then g_*Z is also a p_g-cycle.*

Let $I' = I_{g_*Z}$ and Q' a minimal reduction of I'. In this case, if $Q' : I' = I_{Z'}$ for some cycle Z' on X', then $Q : I = I_{g^*Z'+(m-1)C}$.

Proof. Let F_i be the total transform of E_i on X. Let

$$b_i = -ZF_i, \quad a_i = \min\{1, b_i\}, \quad a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i, \quad \text{and} \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i.$$

We will prove that a cycle $Y := \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i F_i$ satisfies $Q : I = I_{Z-Y}$. Clearly, we have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)) = 0$. By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.4, it is sufficient to show that $Z - Y$ is a p_g-cycle. By Proposition 2.7, f_*Z and f^*f_*Z are p_g-cycles and $Z = f^*f_*Z + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i F_i$. Take any decreasing sequence of cycles $\{Z_0, \ldots, Z_b\}$ such that

$$Z_0 = Z, \quad Z_a = Z - Y, \quad Z_b = f^*f_*Z, \quad C_i := Z_i - Z_{i+1} \in \{F_1, \ldots, F_n\}.$$

Then every Z_i is anti-nef. Let $h_i = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_i))$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z_i) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z_{i+1}) \to \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-Z_{i+1}) \to 0.$$

Note that any nef invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on C_i is generated and satisfies $H^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ (cf. [10]). Since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-Z_{i+1})) = 0$, we have $h_i \geq h_{i+1}$; however the equality holds for every $0 \leq i < b$ because $h_b = h_0 = p_g(A)$. Thus $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_{i+1})) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-Z_{i+1}))$ is surjective and $h_i = p_g(A)$ for each $0 \leq i < b$. Thus $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_{i+1}))$ has no base points on $\operatorname{Supp} C_i$. Therefore if $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_i)$ is generated, so is $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_{i+1})$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_0)$ is generated, $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_i)$ is also generated and Z_i is a p_g-cycle, for every $0 \leq i \leq b$. Hence $Q : I = I_{Z-Y}$. Now it is clear that for any minimal reduction Q^* of I_{f_*Z}, we have $Q^* : I_{f_*Z} = I_{f_*Z}$, namely, I_{f_*Z} is a good ideal.

If $ZC < 0$ for every (-1)-curve C on X not intersecting C_X, then $a_i = 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, and thus $Y = K$. Let $C = E_1$, $g = f_1$, and $X' = X_2$. We will show that if $ZC = 0$, we have $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z + K)) = H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-g_*Z + K'))$, where $K' = K_{X'/X_{n+1}}$. This claim implies the formula $Q : I = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z + K))$. We have that $g^*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-g_*Z + K') = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z + K - C)$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z + K - C) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z + K) \to \mathcal{O}_C(K) \to 0.$$

Since $H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(K)) = 0$, we obtain the claim.

Assume that $m := -ZC > 0$. By Proposition 2.7, g_*Z is a p_g-cycle on X'. Let $I' = I_{g_*Z}$ and Q' a minimal reduction of I'. Let Y' be the effective cycle on X' such that $Q' : I' = I_{g_*Z-Y'}$. Then $Y = g^*Y' + C$. Thus $Z - Y = g^*g_*Z + mC - (g^*Y' + C) = g^*(g_*Z - Y') + (m-1)C$. □

Remark 4.7. (1) If $I = I_Z$ is a p_g-ideal, then the minimal good ideal containing I (the "good closure" of I) is obtained by "taking $Q : I$" several times (cf. Theorem 4.9). Namely, if $I_1 = Q : I$ and Q_1 is a minimal reduction of I_1, then $I_2 = Q_1 : I_1$ is again a p_g-ideal. We obtain $Q_m : I_m = I_m$ for some $m > 0$. The smallest positive integer m with this property is given by $m = \max\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$, where b_i's are the integers in the proof of Theorem 4.6.

(2) If A is a rational singularity, then X_{n+1} in Theorem 4.6 is the minimal resolution since we may regard $C_X = 0$.

Theorem 4.8. *There always exist good p_g-ideals in any excellent two-dimensional normal local domain containing an algebraically closed field.*

Let $I = I_Z$ be a p_g-ideal minimally represented on X. Then I_Z is good if and only if every (-1)-curve on X intersects the cohomology cycle C_X.

From (1) of Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 4.1, we have the following result concerning Question 3.1 of §2.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $I_1 \subset I_2$ be p_g-ideals, and let Q_i be a minimal reduction of I_i $(i = 1, 2)$. Then $Q_1 : I_1 \subset Q_2 : I_2$ and also* $\operatorname{core}(I_1) \subset \operatorname{core}(I_2)$.

Question 4.10. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a normal two-dimensional local domain and let $I_1 \subset I_2$ be integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals and let Q_i be a minimal reduction of I_i for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that I_2 is a p_g-ideal (we do not assume that I_1 is a p_g-ideal). Then are they true that $\operatorname{core}(I_1) \subset \operatorname{core}(I_2)$ and that $Q_1 : I_1 \subset Q_2 : I_2$?

5. Existence of p_g-ideal containing a given element of I.

We show that for any $g \in \mathfrak{m}$ there exists a p_g-ideal containing g. In fact, we prove the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let I be an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and g an arbitrary element of I. Then there exists $h \in I$ such that the integral closure of the ideal (g, h) is a p_g-ideal.*

Proof. Suppose that I is represented by a cycle $Z > 0$ on a resolution X. We write $\operatorname{div}_X(g) = Z_X + H_X$, where Z_X is a cycle and H_X the strict transform of the divisor $H := \operatorname{div}_{\operatorname{Spec} A}(g)$. Let C_X be the cohomological cycle on X. Then, by Proposition 2.7, Z_X is a p_g-cycle if and only if

$$\operatorname{Supp} C_X \cap \operatorname{Supp} H_X = \emptyset. \tag{5.1}$$

By Proposition 2.6, taking blowing-ups at the intersection of the cohomological cycle and the strict transform of H successively, we may assume that the condition (5.1) is satisfied. Since $Z_X \geq Z$, we have $I_{Z_X} \subset I$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_X)$ is generated, there exists $h \in I_{Z_X}$ such that g and h generate $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_X)$. Then we obtain that $I_{Z_X} = \overline{(g, h)}$. □

The idea for obtaining the p_g-ideal in the proof above is used in Example 3.4.

Definition 5.2. Let $h \in \mathfrak{m}$. There exists a unique resolution $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ such that C_X and the strict transform $H \subset X$ of $\operatorname{div}_{\operatorname{Spec} A}(h)$ have no intersection, and that f is minimal among the resolutions with these properties. Note that such a resolution is obtained by taking blowing-ups successively from the minimal resolution. Then $Z := \operatorname{div}_X(h) - H$ is a p_g-cycle by Proposition 2.7. We call I_Z the *p_g-ideal associated with h.*

From now on, we assume that the following condition holds for any integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal $I \subset A$:

(C1) If $h \in I$ is a general element and I is represented by Z on X, and if $\operatorname{div}_X(h) = Z + H$, then the divisor H is a disjoint union of nonsingular curves and each component of H intersects the exceptional set transversally.

This condition holds in case the singularity is defined over a field of characteristic zero, since Bertini's theorem can be applied to the image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-Z))$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let J be an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal, and let I be a p_g-ideal associated with a general element of J. Then I is a maximal p_g-ideal contained in J. In particular, every p_g-ideal is associated with its general element.*

Let $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be the resolution which is obtained by taking the minimal resolution of the blowing-up of J. If J is represented by a cycle M on Y and not *a p_g-ideal, then*

$$e(I) - e(J) = -C_Y M \quad \textit{and} \quad \ell_A(J/I) < -C_Y M.$$

If $J = \mathfrak{m}$, then I is a good ideal with $\mu_A(I) := \ell_A(I/I\mathfrak{m}) = e(\mathfrak{m}) + 1$. Furthermore if A is Gorenstein, then

$$\ell_A(A/I) = e(I)/2 = (e(\mathfrak{m}) - C_Y M)/2 = e(\mathfrak{m}) + p_a(M) - 1.$$

Proof. Suppose that I_1 is a p_g-ideal satisfying $I \subset I_1 \subset J$ and I is associated with a general element $h \in J$. We may assume that I and I_1 are represented by cycles Z and Z_1 on X respectively and that there exists a morphism $\phi\colon X \to Y$. Then $Z \geq Z_1 \geq \phi^* M$. By the choice of the cycle Z, we have $\phi_* Z = \phi_* Z_1 = M$. Take general element $h_1 \in I_1$, and express the divisors of h and h_1 on Y as $\operatorname{div}_Y(h) = M + H$ and $\operatorname{div}_Y(h_1) = M + H_1$. As we assumed that the condition (C1) holds, the divisor H is a disjoint union of nonsingular curves and each component of H intersects the exceptional set transversally. We denote by $\phi_*^{-1}H$ the strict transform of H on X. Since we have

$$Z = \phi^*(M + H) - \phi_*^{-1}H \quad \text{and} \quad Z_1 = \phi^*(M + H_1) - \phi_*^{-1}H_1,$$

we obtain that $\phi^* H - \phi_*^{-1}H \geq \phi^* H_1 - \phi_*^{-1}H_1$. Since H is nonsingular, we see that the equality holds. Thus $I = I_1$.

Let $D = \phi^* H - \phi_*^{-1}H$. Note that $D = K_X - \phi^* K_Y = \phi^* C_Y - C_X$ by Proposition 2.6. Since $C_X(\phi_*^{-1}H) = 0$, we have

$$D^2 = -D\phi_*^{-1}H = -C_Y H = C_Y M.$$

Therefore

$$Z^2 = (\phi^* M + D)^2 = M^2 + D^2 = M^2 + C_Y M.$$

Recall that $e(I) = -Z^2$ and $e(J) = -M^2$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-\phi^* M) \to \mathcal{O}_D \to 0,$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(J/I) &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_D) - p_g(A) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-\phi^* M)) \\ &= -D^2 - (p_g(A) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(-M))) \\ &\leq -C_Y M. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $J = \mathfrak{m}$. Then I is a good ideal since I is a maximal p_g-ideal (cf. Remark 4.7). Since $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-\phi^* M)$ and $Z\phi^* M = M^2$, it follows from [11, 6.2] that $\mu_A(I) = -M^2 + 1 = e(\mathfrak{m}) + 1$.

Suppose that A is Gorenstein. It follows from [11, 4.2] (cf. Remark 2.9) that $\ell_A(A/I) = -Z^2/2$ and $K_X Z = 0$. By Theorem 2.6, there exists an effective cycle C' such that $K_Y = -C_Y + C'$ and $\operatorname{Supp} C_Y \cap \operatorname{Supp} C' = \emptyset$. Since $K_X + C_X = \phi^*(K_Y + C_Y)$, we obtain that

$$(K_Y + C_Y)M = \phi^*(K_Y + C_Y)Z = 0.$$

Thus $C_Y M = -K_Y M$. Using the formulas above and $p_a(M) = (M^2 + K_Y M)/2 + 1$, we obtain the last assertion. □

Example 5.4. Let C be a nonsingular curve with genus g and D a divisor on C with $\deg D = e > 0$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} R_n$, where $R_n = H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(nD))$, and $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} R_n$. Let $a = a(R)$, where

$$a(R) = \max\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \;\middle|\; H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(nD)) \neq 0\right\} \quad \text{(cf. [18, (2.2)])}.$$

Assume that $a \geq 0$, $aD \sim K_C$, and that R is generated by R_1 as R_0-algebra. Then R is Gorenstein by [18, (2.9)]. Let $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ be the blowing up of $\mathfrak{m}$ and $E \subset Y$ the exceptional set. Then Y is the minimal resolution with $E^2 = -e$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is represented by $M := E$.

Since R is Gorenstein, there exists an integer k such that $K_Y = -kE$. From $(K_Y + E)E = \deg K_C = ae$, we have $K_Y = -C_Y = -(a+1)E$. We have

$$-M^2 = e, \quad C_Y M = (a+1)e.$$

Let I be a p_g-ideal associated with a general element $h \in \mathfrak{m}$. From Theorem 5.3, we obtain that

$$\mu_R(I) = e + 1, \quad \ell_R(R/I) = e + g - 1 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_C((a+1)D)).$$

We will show that $I = \mathfrak{m}^{a+2} + (h)$. Let $X \to \operatorname{Spec} R$, Z, and H be as in Definition 5.2, and let $\phi\colon X \to Y$ be the natural morphism. To obtain X from Y, we need $a+1$ blowing ups at the intersection of the exceptional set and each irreducible component of the strict transform of $\operatorname{div}_{\operatorname{Spec} R}(h)$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 5.1). Since the coefficient of the irreducible component of the exceptional set of X intersecting H in the cycle Z is $a+2$, we have $(a+2)M \geq Z$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{m}^{a+2} + (h) \subset I$. Note that $R_n \cong \mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ and $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^{a+2}) = \sum_{n=0}^{a+1} \dim R_n$. Since $h \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ and $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ is a domain, the homomorphism

$$R/\mathfrak{m}^{a+1} \xrightarrow{\times h} (h) + \mathfrak{m}^{a+2}/\mathfrak{m}^{a+2}$$

is bijective. Therefore

$$\ell_R(R/(h) + \mathfrak{m}^{a+2}) = \ell_R(R_{a+1}) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_C((a+1)D)) = \ell_R(R/I).$$

Hence $I = (h) + \mathfrak{m}^{a+2}$.

References

[1] Alberto Corso, Claudia Polini, and Bernd Ulrich, *The structure of the core of ideals*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), no. 1, 89–105.

[2] ———, *Core and residual intersections of ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 7, 2579–2594 (electronic).

[3] Steven Dale Cutkosky, *A new characterization of rational surface singularities*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), no. 1, 157–177.

[4] Jean Giraud, *Improvement of Grauert-Riemenschneider's theorem for a normal surface*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), no. 4, 13–23 (1983).
[5] Shiro Goto, Sin-Ichiro Iai, and Kei-Ichi Watanabe, *Good ideals in Gorenstein local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 6, 2309–2346 (electronic).
[6] Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson, *Cores of ideals in* 2*-dimensional regular local rings*, Michigan Math. J. **42** (1995), no. 1, 193–208.
[7] Craig Huneke and Ngô Viêt Trung, *On the core of ideals*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 1, 1–18.
[8] Eero Hyry and Karen E. Smith, *On a non-vanishing conjecture of Kawamata and the core of an ideal*, Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 6, 1349–1410.
[9] Kyungyong Lee, *A short note on containment of cores*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 10, 3890–3892.
[10] Joseph Lipman, *Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 36, 195–279.
[11] T. Okuma, K.-i. Watanabe, and K.-i. Yoshida, *Good ideals and* p_g*-ideals in two-dimensional normal singularities*, arXiv:1407.1590, submitted.
[12] ———, *Rees algebras and* p_g*-ideals in a two-dimensional normal local domain*, arXiv:1511.00827, submitted.
[13] Claudia Polini and Bernd Ulrich, *A formula for the core of an ideal*, Math. Ann. **331** (2005), no. 3, 487–503.
[14] D. Rees and Judith D. Sally, *General elements and joint reductions*, Michigan Math. J. **35** (1988), no. 2, 241–254.
[15] M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*, Complex algebraic geometry, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 3–159.
[16] M. Tomari, *A survey on normal surface singularities (in Japanese)*, in the proceedings of "Algebraic Geometry and Topology of Degenerations, Singularities and Coverings" (Tagajo, March 1996).
[17] Jonathan M. Wahl, *Vanishing theorems for resolutions of surface singularities*, Invent. Math. **31** (1975), no. 1, 17–41.
[18] Kei-ichi Watanabe, *Some remarks concerning Demazure's construction of normal graded rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **83** (1981), 203–211.

(Tomohiro Okuma) Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, Yamagata, 990-8560, Japan.
E-mail address: okuma@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

(Kei-ichi Watanabe) Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan
E-mail address: watanabe@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

(Ken-ichi Yoshida) Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan
E-mail address: yoshida@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp